,	Case 2:10-cv-04298-MMM-FMO Document 1	Filed 06/10/10 Page 1 of 22 Page ID #:1					
		FILED					
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Michelle Uzeta (SBN 164402) muzeta@hrc-la.org Annette Morasch (SBN 263797) HOUSING RIGHTS CENTER 520 S. Virgil Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90020 Phone: (213) 387-8400, ext. 32 Fax: (213) 381-8555  Alexander Lambrous (SBN 135612) alambrous@lafsbc.org	OJUNIO PM 3: 43  CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES  BY:					
9 10 11 12	LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 301 E. Canon Perdido Street Santa Barbara, California 93101 Phone: (805) 963-6754 Fax: (805) 963-6756						
13 14 15		TES DISTRICT COURT					
16 17 18	CHRISTIE GRAHAM, an individual;	CASE NO. CV 10 4298-MM					
19 20	Plaintiff, vs.	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR VIOLATIONS OF: 1. The Fair Housing Amendments Act					
21 22 23 24 25 26	MAIRE RADIS, as an individual and as Trustee of the RADIS FAMILY 2000 TRUST; PATRICK RADIS as an individual and as Trustee of the RADIS FAMILY 2000 TRUST; and RADIS ASSOCIATES, INC., dba RADIS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, a California corporation.	of 1988 42 H.S.C. 88 3601 et sea ·					
27 28	Defendants.	Jury Trial Demanded					

COMPLAINT- 1

# 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief and damages against Maire Radis, Patrick Radis and Radis Associates, Inc., dba Radis Property Management, a California corporation (collectively "Defendants"), for discrimination in the rental of housing based on the disability of Plaintiff Christie Graham (hereinafter "Ms. Graham"). Specifically, Defendants have refused to allow Ms. Graham to reside with a service animal/emotional support animal as a reasonable accommodation for her disabilities, and have refused to allow her to continue residing with a live-in aide as a reasonable accommodation for her disabilities.
- 2. This action arises under the Federal Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, the California Unruh Civil Rights Act, the California Disabled Persons Act, and also alleges common law negligence. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants' discriminatory conduct will cause Ms. Graham, a 49-year old woman with multiple mental and physical disabilities, to lose her subsidized apartment of 2 years, placing her at imminent risk of homelessness or institutionalization.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3613 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 to hear and determine Plaintiff's state law claims because they are related to her federal claims and arise out of a common nucleus of operative facts. Plaintiff's state and federal claims form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 4. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because all of the acts or omissions giving rise to these claims occurred within the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

# 

#### III. PARTIES

- 5. At all times mentioned and relevant herein, Plaintiff Christie Graham was and is a resident of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California.
- 6. Ms. Graham is a 49-year old woman who has resided in her rental unit at 2241 Banner Avenue, Apartment B, in the City of Summerland, California, 93067 (the "subject property"), for approximately 2 years. Ms. Graham is an extremely low-income individual; her only sources of income are Social Security Disability and Supplemental Security Income benefits.
- 7. Ms. Graham is a woman with multiple disabilities, including long-standing physical and mental disabilities including bipolar disorder, diabetes, fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue, asthma, advanced arthritis and severe spinal degenerative disease. As a result of these disabilities, Ms. Graham experiences symptoms including stress/anxiety, depression, chronic pain, insomnia, swelling of her extremities, weakness, impaired mobility, impaired social skills, dizziness and sleep apnea. Ms. Graham is also hypertensive and has a cardiac condition. Ms. Graham is an individual with a disability as defined under the federal Fair Housing Amendments Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3619); the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Cal. Gov. Code § 12955 et seq.); the Unruh Civil Rights Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 51); and the Disabled Persons Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 54.1).
- 8. Upon information and belief, and at all time relevant to this complaint, Defendant Maire Radis, trustee of the Radis Family 2000 Trust, was and is the owner and/or manager of the subject property, and a resident of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California.
- 9. Upon information and belief, and at all time relevant to this complaint, Defendant Patrick Radis, trustee of the Radis Family 2000 Trust, was and is the owner and/or manager of the subject property, and a resident of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California.

- 10. Upon information and belief, and at all time relevant to this complaint, Radis Associates, Inc., dba Radis Management Company was and is a real property management company, incorporated under the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business at 897 Toro Canyon Road, Santa Barbara, California, 93108.
- 11.Upon information and belief, and at all time relevant to this complaint, Defendants Marie Radis and Partick Radis were and are owners of Radis Associates, Inc., dba Radis Management Company.
- 12. Upon information and belief, and at all time relevant to this complaint, Defendant Radis Associates, Inc., dba Radis Management Company was and is the owner and/or manager of the subject property.
- 13.Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned each and every Defendant was the agent, servant, employee, coconspirator, and/or representative of each and every other Defendant and was, in doing the things complained of herein, acting within the scope of said agency, service, employment, conspiracy, and/or representation, and that each and every Defendant was acting within his/her actual or apparent authority with the full knowledge and consent of each other Defendant.

#### IV. FACTS

#### A. Introduction

- 14. Defendants, acting individually or in concert, directly or through agents, have engaged in discrimination against Ms. Graham as a tenant with disabilities in the operation of the subject property. Defendants continue to engage in such discrimination so as to constitute a continuing violation.
- 15. Defendants' unlawful conduct includes, but is not limited to, commission of the following discriminatory housing practices:
  - a. Failing to make reasonable accommodations in policies, practices, or services, when such accommodations may be necessary to afford a disabled person equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling;

- b. Making unavailable or denying a dwelling to a person because of disability;
- c. Discriminating in the terms, conditions, or privileges of rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection with such dwelling because of disability;
- d. Evicting a tenant because of disability;
- e. Aiding, abetting, inciting, compelling, or coercing the doing of any of the acts or practices declared unlawful by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, or attempting to do so; and
- f. Coercing, intimidating, threatening, or interfering with persons in the exercise or enjoyment of rights granted or protected under the Federal Fair Housing Amendments Act and California Fair Employment and Housing Act.
- 16.In the alternative, Defendants have negligently failed to hire, train, supervise and discipline their agents and employees, and themselves, in order to conform the operation of the subject to the standard of care for the industry.

### B. Facts Upon Which All Claims Are based

- 17. Upon information and belief, the subject property is an eight unit residential rental property in Summerland, California. Upon information and belief, Defendants took over ownership and management of the subject property on or around May 12, 1999.
- 18. Ms. Graham established her tenancy at the subject property on or around May 1, 1008. Since that time, Ms. Graham has resided at the subject property continuously and without interruption.
  - 19.Ms. Graham currently pays \$227 in rent per month.
- 20. The federal Section 8 program pays the balance of the rent in the amount of \$1403 per month.

21. As the result of her physical and mental disabilities, Ms. Graham requires the use of a live-in aide to use and enjoy her housing. Among other things, Ms. Graham's live in aide is needed by defendant to assist her with personal care services such as bathing, grooming and toileting and domestic services such as cooking and cleaning.

Defendant's aide also supervised her medications, assisted her with getting in and out of bed, and with getting around the house when her mobility was impaired due to pain and/or the swelling of her extremities, or her vision was impaired due to migraines.

22. Upon moving into the subject property, on or about May 1, 2008, Ms. Graham was approved by both Defendants and the Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara to reside with a live-in aide. She resided continuously with a live-in aide without complaint from Defendants until approximately April 2010, when her status as an individual with disabilities was suddenly questioned [by Defendants], and her need for an aide became an issue.

23.Ms. Graham has experienced a number of hardships in her life, including the recent death of her boyfriend and the recent death of her cat. There events have increased Ms. Graham's feelings of depression and exacerbated her mental disabilities. In a letter dated September 25, 2009, and delivered to defendant on or about October 1, 2009, Ms. Graham's primary physician Dr. Julio G. Diaz, M.D. confirmed that Ms. Graham meets the definition of a person with a disability under federal law, and prescribed an emotional support animal to "alleviate" the impact of plaintiff's disabilities, assist her in "coping with her depression", and "enhance her abilities to live independently and to fully use and enjoy" her housing.

24.On or about October 1, 2009 Ms. Graham provided a copy of Dr. Diaz's letter to Defendants along with her October rent check. Defendants did not communicate anything to Ms. Graham to indicate that they had a problem with her obtaining an emotional support/ service animal.

25.For Christmas, in December 2009, Ms Graham obtained a small terrier/poodle mix breed named "Cricky". Cricky both provides Ms. Graham with the emotional

support contemplated and prescribed by Dr. Diaz, and has been individually trained to perform disability related tasks for her benefit.

26.In early January 2010, Ms. Graham contacted Defendant Maire Radis by phone to inform her that she had secured her emotional support animal. Ms. Radis became extremely upset about the dog, and began yelling at Ms. Graham. The conversation was on speaker phone and witnessed by one of Ms. Graham's caregivers. Among other things Ms. Radis said to Ms. Graham during that phone call was that in all her years as a property manager, Ms. Radis has evicted everyone who has tried to keep a dog. Ms. Radis threatened Ms. Graham that if she chose to keep her dog she would be served an eviction notice. Ms. Radis also told Ms. Graham that because of her dog she was no longer Ms. Radis' "friend", and that no one should do "this" to her [meaning, obtain a dog]. Ms. Graham began crying and could hear Defendant Patrick Radis in the background yelling that Ms. Graham's dog would dig up the floors and crap all over the apartment. Before ending the call, Ms. Graham assured Ms. Radis that she would not live in a dirty apartment and that she would not allow well-behaved, 7-pound Cricky to cause any damage.

27. Within two weeks Ms. Graham received a 90 day notice for a no cause eviction from Defendant Radis Associates, Inc., dba Radis Property Management. With the Notice, dated January 15, 2010, was a hand written note signed by Defendant Maire Radis that states, "Hi Christie, This should give you plenty of time to find a new place. We'll give you a great reference. Very best wishes, Maire."

28. Three days later, January 18, 2010, Ms. Graham received another letter from Defendant Radis Associates, Inc., dba Radis Property Management. The letter states in part, "Christy has been kind and easy to get along with and is well liked by everyone."

29.On March 8, 2010 Ms. Graham filed an administrative Housing Discrimination Complaint against Defendants which is being administered by the Department of Fair Employment and Housing. (Complaint no. 09-10-0567-8).

- 30. After the 90 day Notice elapsed defendants filed a complaint against Ms. Graham based on the 90 day no cause Notice. (Santa Barbara Superior Court, Anacapa Division, case no. 1343055.) Ms. Graham filed a demurrer to the complaint.
- 31.Ms. Graham requested that Defendants participate in mediation with her; mediation which is offered for free by the City of Santa Barbara. Defendants refused.
- 32.On May 11, 2010, Defendants dismissed the case against Ms. Graham prior to responding to the demurrer.
- 33.After obtaining her emotional support animal, Ms. Graham developed the need to hire a new live-in caregiver. The caregiver was prescribed by her physician and approved by In Home Support Services of Santa Barbara County.
- 34.Defendants stated in writing on May 11, 2010 that they will not give permission for Ms. Graham to reside with a new caregiver because they do not agree with her physician that she is mentally and physically disabled. Defendants made similar statements in writing to an American Broadcasting Company affiliated television news reporter, the City Attorney of the City of Santa Barbara, and the "Inspector General at HUD."
- 35.On or about May 26, 2010 Defendants gave Ms. Graham a Three Day Notice to Quit.
- 36.By refusing to grant Ms. Graham's reasonable accommodation requests to be allowed to reside with a service animal and a live-in caregiver, and taking action to evict her instead, Defendants have discriminated against her on the basis of disability.

## F. Injuries to Plaintiff

37. As a result of the Defendants' above-described actions, Ms. Graham has suffered, is continuing to suffer, and will in the future suffer, great and irreparable loss and injury, including, but not limited to, irreversible damage to her ability to find affordable housing; loss of her current accessible, affordable housing – housing she has resided in and enjoyed for the past 2 years; deprivation of the full use and enjoyment of her tenancy; violation of the covenant of quiet enjoyment; invasion of the private right of

occupancy; violation of her civil rights; severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and severe bodily injury including migraine headaches, stomach aches, sleep loss, appetite loss, and other special and general damages according to proof.

38. There now exists an actual controversy between the parties regarding Defendants' duties under federal and state fair housing laws and other applicable law. Accordingly, Ms. Graham is entitled to declaratory relief.

39. Unless enjoined, Defendants will continue to engage in unlawful acts. Ms.

- Graham has no adequate remedy at law. Unless Defendants' discriminatory conduct is enjoined, Ms. Graham will be forced to move from her home of 2 years, causing her to experience severe physical and emotional distress, trauma, and disorientation.

  Defendants' refusal to grant Ms. Graham's accommodation requests, and decision to issue her notices threatening eviction, has deprived Ms. Graham of the use and enjoyment of her housing. Ms. Graham is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury from Defendants' acts unless this Court provides relief. Accordingly, Ms. Graham is entitled to injunctive relief.
- 40. Ms. Graham is informed, believes and thereon alleges that Defendants committed the acts and omissions herein alleged with intent and/or reckless disregard of her rights, entitling her to punitive damages.

#### V. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

## A. First Claim - Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988

- 41. Plaintiff herein re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 of this complaint.
- 42. Defendants have injured Plaintiff by committing discriminatory housing practices in violation of the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (FHAA), 42 U.S.C. § 3601, et seq. Defendants' unlawful conduct includes, but is not limited to, commission of the following discriminatory housing practices:
  - a. Failing to make reasonable accommodations in policies, practices, or services, when such accommodations may be necessary to afford a disabled

- person equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(B);
- b. Making unavailable or denying a dwelling to a person because of disability, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(a);
- c. Discriminating in the terms, conditions, or privileges of rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection with such dwelling because of disability, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(b);
- d. Making, printing, or publishing, or causing to be made, printed, or published any notice, statement, or advertisement, with respect to the sale or rental of a dwelling that indicates any preference, limitation, or discrimination based on disability, or an intention to make any such preference, limitation, or discrimination, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(c);
- e. Coercing, intimidating, threatening, or interfering with persons in the exercise or enjoyment of rights granted or protected under the Federal Fair Housing Amendments Act, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3617.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions herein alleged, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer damage, humiliation, hardship and anxiety.
- 44. Defendants' conduct constitutes an ongoing and continuous violation of the FHAA. Unless enjoined, said conduct will continue to inflict injuries for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.
- 45. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §3613(c)(1) and (2) Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief, and attorneys fees and costs.
  - B. Second Claim California Fair Employment and Housing Act
- 46. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 45 of the Complaint herein.
- 47. Defendants have injured Plaintiff by committing discriminatory housing practices in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA), Cal. Gov't

Code §12955 et seq. Defendants' unlawful conduct includes, but is not limited to, commission of the following discriminatory housing practices:

- a. Discriminating against or harassing an individual because of disability, in violation of Cal. Gov't Code §§12955(a) and (d);
- b. Making, printing, or publishing, or causing to be made, printed, or published any notice, statement, or advertisement, with respect to the sale or rental of a housing accommodation that indicates any preference, limitation, or discrimination based on disability or an intention to make that preference, limitation, or discrimination, in violation of Cal. Gov't Code §12955(c);
- c. Harassing, evicting, or otherwise discriminating against any person in the sale or rental of housing accommodations when the owner's dominant purpose is retaliation against a person who has opposed practices unlawful under FEHA, in violation of Cal. Gov't Code §12955(f);
- d. Aiding, abetting, inciting, compelling, or coercing the doing of any of the acts or practices declared unlawful under FEHA, or to attempt to do so, in violation of Cal. Gov't Code §12955(g);
- e. Otherwise making unavailable or denying a dwelling based on discrimination because of disability, or national origin, in violation of Cal. Gov't Code §12955(k).
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer damage, humiliation, hardship and anxiety. Defendants' conduct constitutes an ongoing and continuous violation of the FEHA. Unless enjoined, said conduct will continue to inflict injuries for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.
- 49. Pursuant to Cal. Govt. Code § 12989.2 Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and attorney's fees and costs.
  - C. Third Claim California Unruh Civil Rights Act

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- 50. Plaintiff herein re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 49 of this Complaint.
- 51. Defendants have injured Plaintiff by committing discriminatory housing practices in violation of the California Unruh Civil Rights Act, CAL. CIV. CODE § 51 et seq.
- 52. Defendants actions constitute intentional discrimination against Plaintiffs on the basis of disability in violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, CAL. CIV. CODE § 51 et seq., in that: Defendants failed to modify its policies to accommodate the disabilityrelated need of Ms. Graham for the reasonable accommodations of a service animal and live-in aide even after being notified of Ms. Graham's disabilities and the need for such accommodations.
- 53. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 52.1(f), Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in an amount totaling no less than \$4,000 for every violation of California Civil Code §51 et seq. or three times of actual damages, for injunctive relief and for attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action.

#### D. Fourth Claim - California Disabled Person's Act

- 54. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 53 of the Complaint herein.
- 55. Defendants have injured Plaintiff by committing discriminatory housing practices in violation of the California Disabled Person's Act, Cal. Civil Code §54.1.
- 56. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 54.3(a), Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in an amount totaling no less than \$1,000 for every violation of California Civil Code §54 et seq. or three times of actual damages, for injunctive relief and for attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action.

#### E. Fifth Claim - Common Law Negligence

- 57. Plaintiff herein re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 56 of this Complaint.
- 58. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to operate the subject property in a manner that was free from unlawful discrimination and to employ, train, and supervise their

directors, employees, agents, and themselves to fulfill that duty. Defendants breached that duty by refusing to provide Ms. Graham with reasonable accommodations for her disabilities.

- 59. Defendants' negligence has harmed Plaintiff in that she has been denied the full use and enjoyment of her dwelling.
  - 60. Defendants' negligence includes but is not limited to:
    - a. Defendants' negligent failure to train or educate their employees, agents, representatives and each other regarding the requirements of state fair housing laws;
    - a. Defendants' negligent failure to supervise their employees, agents, representatives and each other regarding compliance with the requirements of federal and state fair housing laws; and
    - b. Defendants' negligent failure to operate the subject property in conformity with accepted industry custom and standards.

#### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs pray this Court enter judgment as follows:

- 1. Declare that the discriminatory practices of the Defendants as set forth above, violate the Fair Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 3601, et seq., the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, CAL. Gov. Code § 12955, et seq., the Unruh Civil Rights Act, CAL. Gov. Code § 51; and the Disabled Persons Act, CAL. Gov. Code § 54.1.
- 2. Enjoin Defendants to grant Ms. Graham's reasonable accommodation requests by allowing her to reside with a service animal and a live-in aide.
- 4. Enjoin Defendants, their agents, employees, successors, and all other persons in active concert or participation with Defendants from continuing to discriminate on the basis of disability against Ms. Graham or against any other person who is a prospective or current tenant at rental properties owned and operated by

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Margaret M.	Morrow	and the	assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Fernando M. Olguin.			

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10- 4298 MMM (FMOx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

 === <b>===</b> =	: <b></b>	=======	_ <u> </u>

#### NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

<b>X</b> ]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Filed 06/10/10 Page 16 of 22 Page ID #:16				
\( \sigma \)				
DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA				
CASE NUMBER				
CV10 4298-Mmm(Fr				
CV LO 42 78 MIGHO!				
SUMMONS				
ons on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint   2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer   ICHELLE UZETA , whose address is   1 you fail to do so, relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file				
Clerk, U.S. District Court				
By: Many Juny Deputy Clerk				
(Seal of the Court)				
2				

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

**ADDITIONAL PARTIES:** 

**DEFENDANTS:** 

PATRICK RADIS as an individual and as Trustee of the RADIS FAMILY 2000 TRUST; and RADIS ASSOCIATES, INC., dba RADIS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, a California corporation.

Case 2.10-cv-04298-MIMIM-FMO Document 1	Filed 00/10/10 Page 18 0i 22 Page iD #.18
Michelle Uzeta(SBN: 164402)	,
muzeta@hrc-la.org	
HOUSING RIGHTS CENTER	· ·
520 S. Virgil Ave., Suite 400	
Los Angeles, CA 90040	
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
CHRISTIE GRAHAM, an individual;	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S)	0410 4200
V. MAIDE DADIC on on individual and on Transact of	CV10 4298-MmmCFMD
MAIRE RADIS, as an individual and as Trustee of	
the RADIS FAMILY 2000 TRUST;	
(See Attachment for Additional Parties)	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
<b>、</b> ,	
TO: DEFENDANT(S):  A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, M520 S. Virgil Ave., Suite 400, Los Angeles, CA 90020 judgment by default will be entered against you for the plaintiff's attorney.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer ICHELLE UZETA , whose address is If you fail to do so,
your answer or motion with the court.	oner demanded in the complaint. Tot also must me
	mal pulation who
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated: 10 JUN 2010	By:RAVIS
- 4	Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (12/07) SUMM	MONS

**ADDITIONAL PARTIES:** 

**DEFENDANTS:** 

PATRICK RADIS as an individual and as Trustee of the RADIS FAMILY 2000 TRUST; and RADIS ASSOCIATES, INC., dba RADIS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, a California corporation.

			CIVIL COVE	K SHEET	•					
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) CHRISTIE GRAHAM, an individual;					DEFENDANTS MAIRE RADIS, as an individual and as Trustee of the RADIS FAMILY 2000 TRUST; (see attachment for additional parties)					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Add yourself, provide same.)	dress and Telephone Number. If	you are	representing A	Attorneys	(If Known)				·	
MICHELLE UZETA, HOU	USING RIGHTS CENTER e 400, Los Angeles, CA 90020		·							
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	(Place an X in one box only.)		III. CITIZENSI	HIP OF P	RINCIPAL P	ARTIES -	For Diversity Case	es Only		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff			Citizen of This Si							
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<ul> <li>4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)</li> </ul>	enship	Citizen of Anothe	other State						
<del></del>			Citizen or Subjec	t of a Fore	ign Country [	J3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one	box only.)									
Variable 1 Original ☐ 2 Removed State Con	1 from □ 3 Remanded from urt Appellate Court		einstated or 5 eopened	Transferre	ed from another	district (sp	Dist	trict Judg	eal to I ge from gistrate	ı
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA	INT: JURY DEMAND: 🗹	∕es □	No (Check 'Yes'	only if der	nanded in com	plaint.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.1	P. 23: ☐ Yes No		□м	ONEY D	EMANDED IN	OMPL	AINT: \$			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite	the U.S. Civil Statute under which	ch you a	are filing and write	a brief sta	atement of caus	e. Do not o	cite jurisdictional s	tatutes unless div	ersity.)	
	nst defendant based on their unla	wful di	scrimination based	on disabi	lity; 42 U.S.C.	Section 36	01, et seq.			
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	an X in one box only.)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>				
**	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine		k(0) Masai Mura. <sup>M</sup> Airplane		anticoly (6) N(n)municipes	□ 510	Motions to	□ 710 Fair La	bor Sta	ndards
			Airplane Product		Other Fraud	機器 口 210	Vacate Sentence	Act □ 720 Labor/I	<b>Nomt</b>	
	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		Liability		Truth in Lend	ing	Habeas Corpus	Relatio		
		□ 320	Assault, Libel & Slander	□ 380	Other Persona		General	☐ 730 Labor/N	/Igmt.	
☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'	705			Death Penalty	Reporti		_
and Corrupt	Judgment		Liability	10 303	Property Dam Product Liabil		Other	Disclos ☐ 740 Railway		
	131 Medicate Act		Marine		MEDICAL PARTY.	<b>□</b> 550	Civil Rights	☐ 790 Other L	abor	Act
	152 Recovery of Defaulted	C1 242	Marine Product Liability	□ 422	Appeal 28 US	C 🗆 555	Prison Condition	Litigati	on	
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)	□ 350	Motor Vehicle	L 422	158 Withdrawal 28			□ 791 Empl. F		
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □		□ 355	Motor Vehicle	423	USC 157		Agriculture	Security	Act	
Exchange	Overpayment of	□ 360	Product Liability Other Personal				Other Food &	□ 820 Copyrig	hts	3. 5. 715 3. 5.
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	Veteran's Benefits		Injury		Voting	- 44-	Drug	□ 830 Patent		
	160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	□ 362	Personal Injury-		Employment Housing/Acco		Drug Related Seizure of	☐ 840 Tradem		Andreas
	195 Contract Product	□ 365	Med Malpractice Personal Injury-	(3) 143	mmodations	·	Property 21 USC		995ff)	
□ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability		Product Liability	□ 444	Welfare		881	□ 862 Black L		23)
	☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal ☐ 445 American with ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 863				□ 863 DIWC/	DIWW				
	J 210 Land Condemnation		Injury Product Liability		Disabilities - Employment		R.R. & Truck Airline Regs	(405(g) □ 864 SSID T		T
☐ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	3 220 Foreclosure		it is maked	□ 446	American with		Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40		•
	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 462			Disabilities -	ŀ	Safety /Health	意 美磁性 医枝		
	☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 463	Application Habeas Corpus-	L 440	Other Other Civil	□ 690	Other	☐ 870 Taxes (		aintiff
	290 All Other Real Property		Alien Detainee Other Immigratior Actions		Rights			or Defe □ 871 IRS-Thi USC 76	rd Part	y 26
			r rottono							
				<u> </u>				-		
		M	10 /	<del>( 1) (</del> 3	<del>                                      </del>					

COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

Case 2:10-cv-04298-MMM-FMO Document 1 Filed 06/10/10 Page 21 of 22 Page ID #:21

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES If yes, list case number(s):	: Has this action been	proviously filed in this court :	and dismissed, remanded or closed? IS No □ Yes						
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	Have any cases been p	reviously filed in this court th	hat are related to the present case? If No I Yes						
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:  Check all boxes that apply)  A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or  B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact, or  C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.									
IX. VENUE: (When completing  (a) List the County in this Distriction  Check here if the government	riot; California County	outside of this District: State	if necessary.)  If other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.  If this box is checked, go to item (b).						
County in this District:*	on, na agonoica di emp	loyees is a named plantin. I	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country						
Santa Barbara County			N/A						
(b) List the County in this Distr	ici; California County nt, its agencies or emp	outside of this District; State loyees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).						
County in this District:*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Poreign Country						
Santa Barbara County			N/A						
(c) List the County in this Distr Note: In land condemnation	ict; California County in cases, use the locati	outside of this District; State ion of the tract of land invol	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved.						
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Pereign Country						
Santa Barbara County			N/A						
Los Augeles, Orange, San Ber Note: In land condemnation cases	nardino, Riverside, V 3. use the location of th	e tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties						
K. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNE	Y (OR PRO PER):	Michelle Uyeta.	Date June 10, 2010						
but is used by the Clerk of th	e Court for the purpose	ved by the Judicial Conference of statistics, venue and initial	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings to of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)						
Cey to Statistical codes relating to	Social Security Cases	*							
Nature of Suit Co	de Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action						
861	ніа	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))							
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)							
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))							
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))							
864	SSID	All claims for supplements Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security						
865	RSI	RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))							

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

CV-71 (05/08)

**ADDITIONAL PARTIES:** 

**DEFENDANTS:** 

PATRICK RADIS as an individual and as Trustee of the RADIS FAMILY 2000 TRUST; and RADIS ASSOCIATES, INC., dba RADIS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, a California corporation.